

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/534,491	05/10/2005	Toshiaki Masuda	NPR-170	4972	
20374 7	10/03/2006		EXAM	INER	
KUBOVCIK & KUBOVCIK			BUI, LUAN KIM		
SUITE 710 900 17TH STR	REET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			3728		
			DATE MAIL ED. 10/02/2004	DATE MAIL ED. 10/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/534,491	MASUDA ET AL:
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Luan K. Bui	3728
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions of the second period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on      2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This      3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		•
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
. Attachment(s)		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/26/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	pate

Application/Control Number: 10/534,491 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

## **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to because the foreign words indicate as "sign indicating 1. portion" in Figures 4, 5 and 7 are not cleared the meaning of those words. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance. The objection to the drawings will be withdrawn if Applicant translates the meaning of the foreign words.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,491 Page 3

Art Unit: 3728

3. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "capable of" in claims 1 and others is not a claim element as a means for performing a specified function as required by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sharon et al. (2002/0066678; hereinafter Sharon'678) in view of Yoshida et al. (4,961,495; hereafter Yoshida'495) and Shibata (6,491,159). To the extent that the Examiner can determine the scope of the claims, Sharon'678 discloses a plural-chamber container comprising a plurality of chambers (1, 2), a peelable partition wall (4, 8) defined the chambers and a means for indicating the peeling of the partition wall on the plural-chamber containers (see paragraph 0041 to paragraph 0045). Sharon'678 also discloses the other claimed limitations except for the container being formed from a synthetic resin film and the partition wall being by thermally welding.

Yoshida'495 teaches a plural-chamber container (1) comprising a plurality of chambers (2) and a peelable partition wall (5) defined the chambers and formed by thermally welding opposed parts of the container (column 5, lines 19-22 and column 6, lines 41-44). Shibata shows a plural-

Art Unit: 3728

á

chamber container (1) comprising a plurality of chambers (8, 9) and a peelable partition wall (7) defined the chambers. The container made of a synthetic resin film (see abstract). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Yoshida'495 and Shibata to modify the container of Sharon'678 so the container is formed from a synthetic resin film as taught by Shibata since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice and the peelable partition wall is formed by thermally welding as taught by Yoshida'495 because the selection of the specific type of seal would have been an obvious matter of design choice inasmuch as the resultant structures will work equally well and inasmuch as applicant's specification does not state that using that specific type as claimed solves any particular problem or yields any unexpected results.

As to claim 3, Yoshida'495 further teaches pull tabs (13) which are considered equivalent to a sign indicating portion and a sign shielding portion as claimed.

6. Claims 5-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hustad (5,064,664). To the extent that the Examiner can determine the scope of the claims, the container of Sharon'678 as modified further fails to show the sign indicating portion and the sign shielding portion being printed on the partition wall. Hustad shows a container comprising a peelable seal (24) disposed between a front panel (21) and a rear panel (22). The front panel formed from a transparent material and the rear panel having a bright color may be printed at the peelable seal to indicate that the container has been opened or tampered (column 4, lines 6-24). It would have been obvious to

Application/Control Number: 10/534,491

Art Unit: 3728

Page 5

one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Hustad to modify the container of Sharon'678 as modified so the sign indicating portion and the sign shielding portion are printed on the partition wall to provide more convenience for the user and because the selection of the specific type of indicating would have been an obvious matter of design choice inasmuch as the resultant structures will work equally well.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan K. Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4552. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300 for Formal papers and After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lkb

September 28, 2006

Luan K. Bui

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3728